

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTES AND DISCUSSIONS

TO IG. ix. 2. 241.

This short Thessalian dedication from Pharsalus was first published by De Sanctis, Mon. Antichi VIII, p. 66, who read τοὶ ἀγυιᾶται ἀνέθ[ει]καν ἀρχόντων Σο̄σ[ά]νδρο̄ 'Ασανδρ[ο̄]. On this rests the statement that τοί was used in Thessaliotis in contrast to οἱ in Pelasgiotis, Solmsen, Rh. M. LX, 148 ff., Buck, CP. II, 253, Thumb, Hdb. d. griech. Dial. 243. In my Greek Dialects § 122, I suppressed this, on account of the different understanding of the TOI in IG. ix. 2. 241, where the first two words are taken as dat. sing. το̄ι 'Αγυιάται, that is "to (Apollo) 'Αγυιάται" (='Αγυιεύς, as in Aesch. Ag. 1081). The discussion by Pridrik, to which reference is made and from which this interpretation is presumably adopted, is not accessible to me. But the first editor's nom. plur. τοὶ 'Αγυιᾶται must after all be correct. Otherwise the lack of subject for the verb is inexplicable, while, on the other hand, the omission of the name of the divinity to whom the dedication was made, which would often be understood from the place of dedication, is so common as to occasion no difficulty.

For ἀγυιᾶται De Sanctis quotes the gloss ἀγυιῆται κωμῆται· (Hesych.; E. M. adds γείτονες). From this gloss, taken in connection with Pollux ix. 35, 36, Schol. Ar. Lys. 5, etc., it is clear that ἀγυιά was one of the words, others being κώμη, ἄμφοδον, λαύρα, ῥύμη, which were used technically, like Lat. vicus, to designate a "quarter" of the town. The ἀγυιᾶται were those belonging to the same quarter, and the "archons" mentioned were probably, as already suggested by De Sanctis, the vici magistri.

C. D. Buck

NOTE ON PLATO, LAWS 679 D

καὶ πρὸς τὰς πολεμικάς [sc. τέχνας], ὅσαι τε πεζαὶ καὶ ὅσαι κατὰ θάλατταν γίγνονται τὰ νῦν, καὶ ὅσαι δὴ κατὰ πόλιν μόνον αὐτοῦ, δίκαι καὶ στάσεις λεγόμεναι, λόγοις ἔργοις τε μεμηχανημέναι, κ.τ.λ.

All editions known to me retain the text though some shift the comma after $a\dot{\nu}\tau o\dot{\nu}$. Most translators are evasive and many of the commentators are uneasy. The Oxford text cannot really be construed—"in the city only there." Bury's (Classical Review, XXIX, 171) "only there called" with comma after $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ is very harsh if possible. Bekker and Stallbaum would apparently put the comma after $\mu \dot{\nu} o \nu o \nu$. But as Richards (Platonica, p. 236) says, this cannot mean what Stallbaum takes it to mean—ubi lites et